

LV DB 2

Recent evidences of radiotherapy as a non-invasive ablation therapeutic option.

Tae Hyun Kim

Center for Proton Therapy, National Cancer Center, Goyang, Korea

Lecture : Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) patients mostly have an underlying chronic liver disease resulting from hepatitis B and C virus (HBV and HCV) infection, alcoholic liver disease, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, etc. Intrahepatic disease progression is the main cause of death in non-metastatic HCC patients. Thus, effective local treatments in these patients are crucial. Various local treatment options for HCC patients, such as surgical resection, liver transplantation, local ablative treatments including thermal ablation and percutaneous ethanol injection (PEI), transarterial chemoembolization (TACE), radioembolization, etc., have been available, but many factors including tumor burdens, tumor characteristics, underlying liver function and patient comorbidities limit the treatment options.

With recent technological advances in radiotherapy (RT) and biologic understanding of liver tolerance to RT, modern sophisticated RT techniques, such as three-dimensional conformal RT, intensity-modulated RT and stereotactic body RT (SBRT)(1-4), have made it possible to deliver high doses of radiation to tumor(s) and reduce radiation doses to surrounding non-cancerous tissues including the remaining normal liver and gastrointestinal (GI) organs and these have shown promising outcomes in HCC patients with/without tumor vascular thrombosis (TVT). Compared with RT with X-ray, proton beam therapy (PBT), due to the inherent physical properties of the proton beam (called Bragg peaks), has an excellent depth dose distribution, which can increase the dose to the tumor while maintaining the radiation dose in the non-cancerous portion of the liver. Recently, PBT with various fractionations (i.e., 4 - 34 fractions) has been attempted and showed encouraging outcomes(5-11). Kim et al. (12) recruited 144 patients into a non-inferiority phase III randomised trial testing the hypothesis that proton beam radiotherapy (PBT) is non-inferior to radiofrequency ablation (RFA) in the treatment of patients with recurrent or residual HCC. Crossover was allowed if the assigned treatment was not technically feasible and occurred in 6 patients in the PBT arm and 19 in the RFA arm. The 2-year liver progression-free survival (LPFS) rate in the intention to treat population was 92.8% after PBT vs. 83.2% after RFA, meeting the criteria for non-inferiority. The 3- and 4-year LPFS rates were also non-inferior in PBT vs. RFA. Furthermore, in the per protocol population the 2-year LPFS rate was 94.8% after PBT and 83.9% after RFA. Common adverse events after PBT were radiation pneumonitis (32.5%) and leukopenia (23.8%), but no life-threatening adverse events were noted. These findings suggested that this new RT techniques, including PBT, is not inferior and can be applied safely in patients with small recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma.



References

1. Bujold A, Massey CA, Kim JJ, Brierley J, Cho C, Wong RK, et al. Sequential phase I and II trials of stereotactic body radiotherapy for locally advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013;31(13):1631–9.
2. Wahl DR, Stenmark MH, Tao Y, Pollom EL, Caoili EM, Lawrence TS, et al. Outcomes After Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy or Radiofrequency Ablation for Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2016;34(5):452–9.
3. Rim CH, Kim HJ, Seong J. Clinical feasibility and efficacy of stereotactic body radiotherapy for hepatocellular carcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Radiother Oncol*. 2019;131:135–44.
4. Kim N, Cheng J, Jung I, Liang J, Shih YL, Huang WY, et al. Stereotactic body radiation therapy vs. radiofrequency ablation in Asian patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol*. 2020;73(1):121–9.
5. Kim DY, Park JW, Kim TH, Kim BH, Moon SH, Kim SS, et al. Risk-adapted simultaneous integrated boost-proton beam therapy (SIB-PBT) for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma with tumour vascular thrombosis. *Radiother Oncol*. 2017;122(1):122–9.
6. Kim JY, Lim YK, Kim TH, Cho KH, Choi SH, Jeong H, et al. Normal liver sparing by proton beam therapy for hepatocellular carcinoma: Comparison with helical intensity modulated radiotherapy and volumetric modulated arc therapy. *Acta Oncol*. 2015;54(10):1827–32.
7. Kim TH, Park JW, Kim BH, Kim DY, Moon SH, Kim SS, et al. Optimal time of tumour response evaluation and effectiveness of hypofractionated proton beam therapy for inoperable or recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma. *Oncotarget*. 2018;9(3):4034–43.
8. Kim TH, Park JW, Kim BH, Kim H, Moon SH, Kim SS, et al. Does Risk-Adapted Proton Beam Therapy Have a Role as a Complementary or Alternative Therapeutic Option for Hepatocellular Carcinoma? *Cancers (Basel)*. 2019;11(2).
9. Kim TH, Park JW, Kim BH, Oh ES, Youn SH, Moon SH, et al. Phase II Study of Hypofractionated Proton Beam Therapy for Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Front Oncol*. 2020;10:542.
10. Kim TH, Park JW, Kim YJ, Kim BH, Woo SM, Moon SH, et al. Phase I dose-escalation study of proton beam therapy for inoperable hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Res Treat*. 2015;47(1):34–45.
11. Lee SU, Park JW, Kim TH, Kim YJ, Woo SM, Koh YH, et al. Effectiveness and safety of proton beam therapy for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma with portal vein tumor thrombosis. *Strahlenther Onkol*. 2014;190(9):806–14.
12. Kim TH, Koh YH, Kim BH, Kim MJ, Lee JH, Park B, et al. Proton beam radiotherapy vs. radiofrequency ablation for recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma: A randomized phase III trial. *J Hepatol*. 2021;74(3):603–12.